

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**ROBERT P. BOLTZ, SR.**

**v.**

**COMMONWEALTH OF  
PENNSYLVANIA, *et al.***

**: CIVIL ACTION**

**:**

**: NO. 18-2254**

**:**


**:**

**:**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December 2019, upon considering the Petition for writ of *habeas corpus* (ECF Doc. No. 15), Respondent's Answer (ECF Doc. No. 22), no timely reply, and for reasons in the accompanying Memorandum, it is **ORDERED**:

1. Mr. Boltz's Petition for writ of *habeas corpus* (ECF Doc. No. 15) is **DENIED** with prejudice;
2. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability;<sup>1</sup> and,
3. The Clerk of Court shall **close** this case.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**KEARNEY, J.**

---

<sup>1</sup> See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).